### Nutrient depleted soil is associated with the presence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*

3 Running title: Nutrient depleted soil and *Burkholderia pseudomallei* 

- 5 Viriya Hantrakun<sup>1</sup>, Patpong Rongkard<sup>1</sup>, Malinee Oyuchua<sup>1</sup>, Premjit Amornchai<sup>1</sup>, Cherry Lim<sup>1</sup>,
- 6 Vanaporn Wuthiekanun<sup>1</sup>, Nicholas PJ Day<sup>1,2</sup>, Sharon J. Peacock<sup>1,3,4</sup>, Direk
- 7 Limmathurotsakul<sup>1,2,5,\*</sup>

2

4

8

16

- 9 <sup>1</sup> Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol
- 10 University, Thailand
- <sup>2</sup> Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine,
- 12 Churchill Hospital, University of Oxford, United Kingdom
- 13 Department of Medicine, Cambridge University, United Kingdom
- <sup>4</sup> London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Tropical Hygiene, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University
- 17 Corresponding author: Dr Direk Limmathurotsakul, 420/6 Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine
- 18 Research Unit, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Rajvithee Rd, Bangkok,
- 19 10400 Thailand. Telephone +662-203-6304, E-mail: direk@tropmedres.ac
- Word count: abstract 198, importance 147, text 3348
- Figures: 2, Table: 1
- 23 Supplemental material: 1

#### Abstract

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Burkholderia pseudomallei is a soil-dwelling bacterium and the cause of melioidosis, which kills an estimated 89,000 people per year worldwide. Agricultural workers are at high risk of infection due to repeated exposure. Little is known about soil physicochemical properties associated with presence or absence of the organism. Here, we evaluated the soil physicochemical properties and presence of B. pseudomallei in 6,100 soil samples collected from 61 rice fields in Thailand. The presence of B. pseudomallei was negatively associated with the proportion of clay, proportion of moisture, level of salinity, percentage of organic matter, presence of cadmium, and nutrient levels (phosphorous, potassium, calcium, magnesium and iron). The presence of B. pseudomallei was not associated with the level of soil acidity (p=0.54). In a multivariable logistic regression model, presence of B. pseudomallei was negatively associated with the percentage of organic matter (OR=0.06; 95%CI 0.01-0.47, p=0.007), level of salinity (OR=0.06; 95%CI 0.01-0.74, p=0.03), and percentage of soil moisture (OR=0.81; 95%CI 0.66-1.00, p=0.05). Our study suggests that in rice fields, B. pseudomallei thrives in those that are nutrient-depleted. Some agricultural practices result in a decline in soil nutrients, which may impact on the presence and amount of B. pseudomallei in affected areas.

### **Importance**

Burkholderia pseudomallei is an environmental Gram-negative bacillus and the cause of melioidosis. Humans acquire the disease following skin inoculation, inhalation or ingestion of the bacterium in the environment. The presence of *B. pseudomallei* in soil defines geographic regions where humans and livestock are at risk of melioidosis, yet little is known about soil properties associated with presence of the organism. We evaluated the soil properties and presence of *B. pseudomallei* in 61 rice fields in East, Central and Northeast Thailand. We demonstrated that the organism was more commonly found in soils with lower levels of organic matter and nutrients including phosphorus, potassium, calcium, magnesium and iron. We also demonstrated that crop residue burning after harvest, which can reduce soil nutrients, was not uncommon. Some agricultural practices result in a decline in soil nutrients, which may impact on the presence and amount of *B. pseudomallei* in affected areas.

#### Introduction

Melioidosis, an infectious disease caused by the Gram-negative bacterium *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, is an important global public health threat. An estimated 165,000 cases of human melioidosis occur each year worldwide, of which 89,000 (54%) die (1). The disease is highly endemic in Southeast Asia and Northern Australia (2), and is predicted to be endemic but is grossly under-reported in many tropical and sub-tropical countries (1, 3). The crude case fatality rate for melioidosis ranges from 14% to 40% and may be as high as 70% in cases given sub-optimal antibiotic therapy (4-6). No licensed vaccine for melioidosis is currently available.

B. pseudomallei is a free-living organism found in soil and water (2), and humans acquire the disease following skin inoculation, inhalation or ingestion of the bacterium in the environment (7). In tropical developing countries, most patients are agricultural workers (typically rice farmers) with frequent contact with soil and water. Evidence-based guidelines for the prevention of melioidosis recommend that residents and visitors to melioidosis-endemic areas avoid direct contact with soil and water, and wear protective gear such as boots and gloves when in direct contact with soil and environmental water (7, 8). However, rubber boots are hot and make walking difficult in muddy rice fields, and rubber gloves are also hot and difficult to use while planting rice (9). As a result, many rice farmers continue to work in rice fields without protective gear and are at high risk of melioidosis.

The presence of *B. pseudomallei* in soil defines geographic regions where humans and livestock are at risk of melioidosis, but knowledge of environmental factors associated with the presence of the organism in the natural setting is poor and conflicting. Laboratory studies using sterile soil

showed that *B. pseudomallei* grows well in soil with a high percentage of moisture (10-12), high level of iron (13), optimal acidity (pH 4-8) (11, 13), and high salinity (up to 4.2 dS/m) (13). By contrast, two cross-sectional studies in the natural environment in Northern Australia and Northeast Thailand found that the presence of *B. pseudomallei* was negatively associated with the level of iron in soil (14, 15), and a recent modelling study and an experimental field study suggested that the presence of *B. pseudomallei* was not associated with soil acidity (1, 12). Furthermore, both negative and positive correlations between the presence of *B. pseudomallei* and soil salinity have been reported (1, 12, 15). Land use can affect the biodiversity of organisms in soil (16), but there is currently no information on the association between the presence of *B. pseudomallei* and agricultural practices.

Here, we report the findings of a large cross-sectional environmental survey to determine the physicochemical characteristics of soil associated with the presence of *B. pseudomallei* in three regions in Thailand where melioidosis is considered to be highly endemic (Northeast and East) or non-endemic (Central). Our findings extend the understanding of soil properties related to environmental *B. pseudomallei*.

#### **Materials and Methods**

**Study area.** East, Central and Northeast Thailand consist of 7, 21 and 20 provinces that cover 34,381, 93,005 and 168,854 km<sup>2</sup>, and have an estimated population in 2013 of 3.9, 18.7 and 23.3 million, respectively (17). Northeast Thailand is a plateau surrounded by mountain ranges, and most of the arable land consists of tropical sandy soil. East Thailand is characterized by short mountain ranges alternating with alluvial plains. Central Thailand is a large plain consisting of clay soil. Rice farming is the predominant form of agriculture in all three regions. In Thailand, for administrative purposes each province is sub-divided into districts, sub-districts, communes and villages. The majority of the population in all three regions live in rural settings and most adults are engaged in agriculture, particularly rice farming. In 2013, land used for agriculture was 57%, 48% and 60% in East, Central and Northeast Thailand, respectively (18).

To evaluate environmental factors associated with the presence of *B. pseudomallei*, we selected six, seven and seven adjacent provinces in each of East, Central and Northeast Thailand, respectively (Fig. 1). Three villages per province were randomly selected. Randomization was performed using Stata version 14.0 (StataCorp LP, College station, Texas). Soil sampling was performed in one rice field per one village. Rice fields were selected as sampling sites since rice farming is a major risk factor for melioidosis (9). The sampled fields were those that had been used for rice farming for at least 12 months prior to the sampling date. Written, informed permission was obtained from land owners prior to sampling.

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Tropical Medicine,

Mahidol University (MUTM 2013-021-01) and the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee,

University of Oxford (OXTREC 1013-13).

Soil Sampling. Soil sampling in East, Central and Northeast Thailand was performed during the dry season (from April to June) in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. We used the consensus guidelines for environmental sampling described by the Detection of Environmental *Burkholderia pseudomallei* Working Party (DEBWorP) (19). In brief, each rice field was divided into a grid system, in which 100 sampling points (10 by 10) were plotted 2.5 meters apart. At each sampling point, around 30 grams of soil was removed from the base of a 30-cm hole, placed in a zip bag, and kept at ambient temperature and protected from sunlight. We recorded the location of sampled fields using the EpiCollect application (www.epicollect.net, Imperial College, London) (20). All soil samples were processed within 48 hours of collection for the identification of *B. pseudomallei* and for soil physicochemical properties.

**Identification of** *B. pseudomallei*. Ten grams of soil from each sampling point was mixed with 10 ml of enrichment broth consisting of threonine-basal salt solution plus colistin (TBSS-C50 broth) and incubated at 40°C in air for 48 hours. Ten microliters of surface liquid was then subcultured onto Ashdown agar and incubated at 40°C in air and examined every 24 hours for 4 days for bacterial colonies suggestive of *B. pseudomallei*, which were initially identified on the basis of colony morphotype. This included the characteristic colony morphology (purple, flat, dry and wrinkled) together with six additional colony morphotypes, as described previously (21). Presumptive colonies were picked from each sample and tested immediately using a specific

latex agglutination test for *B. pseudomallei*-specific CPS, as previously described (22). For positive colonies, susceptibility to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and arabinose assimilation were determined as previously described (23). *B. pseudomallei* was defined based on the combination of colony morphology, positive latex agglutination test, susceptibility to amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and negative arabinose assimilation (23).

Soil properties. One kilogram of soil from each sampling field was made by aggregating 100 soil samples (10 g per each sampling point) and evaluated for four main properties, as follows. (1) Physical properties: texture (proportion of sand, silt and clay) and moisture (%w/w). (2) Acidity and salinity: pH, lime requirement (to adjust soil acidity; kg/100sqm) and electrical conductivity (dS/m). (3) Chemical properties: total nitrogen (mg/kg), available phosphorous (mg/kg), exchangeable potassium (mg/kg), exchangeable calcium (mg/kg), available magnesium (mg/kg), extractable sulphur (mg/kg), total iron (g/kg), total cadmium (mg/kg), exchangeable sodium (mg/kg) and cation exchange capacity (cmol/mg). (4) Biological related factors: organic matter (%w/w) and carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N ratio) (see Table S5 in the supplemental material). All soil properties were evaluated by iLab Asia (Kanchanaburi, Thailand) except for total iron and total cadmium which were evaluated by Central Laboratory (Bangkok, Thailand). Both laboratories were registered with the Ministry of Agriculture Thailand as standardized national soil testing laboratories.

**Agricultural practices.** A closed-end interviewee-based questionnaire was used to collect the information about agricultural practices. For illiterate participants, the questionnaire was read to the participant and completed by trained research staff in accordance with their responses.

Questions included fertilizer used, rice field management (before planting and after harvest) in the 12 months before the sampling date.

165

166

167

168

169

170

163

164

**Sample size calculation.** To determine the optimal sample size, we performed a pilot study of soil sampling in four rice fields in Chachoengsao province, East Thailand. Three of four rice fields (75%) were culture positive for *B. pseudomallei*. We calculated that 60 rice fields (3 rice fields per province) were needed to determine environmental factors associated with *B. pseudomallei* with a power of 80% at an alpha error of 5%.

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

Statistical analysis. The outcomes of interest were positivity of B. pseudomallei in rice fields and its association with soil properties. Binary and continuous variables were compared by using the Fisher's exact test and Mann-Whitney test, respectively. Soil properties associated with the presence of B. pseudomallei were evaluated using univariable and multivariable logistic regression. The final multivariable logistic regression models were developed using a purposeful selection method (24). Sensitivity analysis was conducted using region-stratified analysis. We also used ordered logistic regression to evaluate the association between soil properties and quantity of B. pseudomallei. The number of positive sampling points for B. pseudomallei within a rice field was used to represent the quantity of B. pseudomallei distribution in the field. The Spearman correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the correlation between soil properties. All statistical tests were performed using Stata version 14.0 (StataCorp LP, College station, Texas). The final database with the data dictionary are publicly available online (https://figshare.com/s/b44c335a9b321ab19325).

185

#### Results

**Distribution of** *B. pseudomallei* **in Northeast, East and Central Thailand.** Of 6,100 soil samples collected from 61 rice fields (100 soil samples per rice field), 1,046 were culture positive for *B. pseudomallei* (Fig. 1). A total of 30 of 61 rice fields (49%) had at least one sampling point that was culture positive for the organism. Percentages of rice fields culture-positive for *B. pseudomallei* were 57% (12 of 21 rice fields), 68% (13 of 19 rice fields) and 24% (5 of 21 rice fields) in Northeast, East and Central Thailand, respectively. The percentage of rice fields culture-positive for *B. pseudomallei* in the Northeast and East were higher than that in Central Thailand (57% vs. 24%, p=0.06 and 68% vs. 24%, p=0.01), while the percentage was not significantly different between the Northeast and East (57% vs. 68%, p=0.53).

For the rice fields that were culture-positive for *B. pseudomallei*, the median number of positive sampling points were 53 (range 2 to 98), 16 (range 1 to 81) and 1 (range 1 to 63) in Northeast, East and Central Thailand, respectively (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The median number of positive sampling points in the Northeast and East were both higher than that in Central Thailand (p=0.01 and p=0.002), while the number was not significantly different between the East and Northeast (p=0.61).

Characteristics of soil and agricultural practices. Overall comparison of soil properties among three regions showed that soil from Central Thailand had the highest median percentage of clay (53%), followed by the Northeast (45%) and East (32%). Soil acidity (pH) varied considerably, ranging from very acid (pH=4.9) to carbonate-rich soil (pH=8.1), but was not significantly

different between the three regions (p=0.68). Soil salinity, as determined by electrical conductivity and expressed in dS/m, was very low in all fields sampled (<2.0 dS/m).

Farmers were interviewed about land management before and after rice planting (including the fertilizer used, and crop residue burning before and after harvest) in the 12 months before the sampling date. Of 61 rice fields evaluated, 54 (89%) were treated with chemical fertilizer, 17 (28%) with organic fertilizer made from plant material, 22 (36%) with organic fertilizer made from animal dung, and 39 (64%) with biological fertilizer such as effective microorganisms. Owners of 24 (39%) rice fields burned their fields between rice planting seasons. The median percentage of organic matter in fields with a history of burning was not significantly lower than that of others (0.81 vs. 0.84 %w/w, p=0.82).

Association between soil physicochemical properties and *B. pseudomallei*. We found that the presence of *B. pseudomallei* was associated with nutrient-depleted soil (Fig. 2; see also Table S2 in the supplemental material). Presence of the organism was negatively associated with the percentage of soil moisture (p<0.001), the level of soil salinity (p=0.001), presence of cadmium (p<0.001) and levels of multiple nutrients including available phosphorous (p=0.03), exchangeable potassium (p<0.001), exchangeable calcium (p=0.001), available magnesium (p=0.002) and total iron (p=0.002). Levels of overall nutrients and total nutrient fixing capacity of soil determined by organic matter and cation exchange capacity, respectively, were also negatively associated with the presence of *B. pseudomallei* (both p values<0.001). The carbon to nitrogen ratio, which is used to determine how easily bacteria can decompose organic material in soil, was also negatively associated with the presence of *B. pseudomallei* (p=0.01). Presence of

the organism was positively associated with the proportion of sand (p=0.02), negatively associated with the proportion of clay (p=0.002), and not associated with the proportion of silt (p=0.68). Presence of *B. pseudomallei* was not associated with soil acidity (p=0.54), or agricultural practices. Many soil physicochemical properties were strongly correlated (see Table S3 in the supplemental material).

We used multivariable logistic regression analysis and found that the presence of *B. pseudomallei* was negatively associated with the percentage of organic matter (OR=0.06; 95%CI 0.01-0.47, p=0.007), level of salinity (OR=0.06; 95%CI 0.01-0.74, p=0.03), and level of soil moisture (OR=0.81; 95%CI 0.66-1.00, p=0.05) (Table 1). A sensitivity analysis was conducted by including region as a stratification variable, which gave comparable results.

In addition, we also used ordered logistic regression to further evaluate the association between the quantity of *B. pseudomallei* distribution in rice fields and soil physicochemical factors. We observed that the number of sampling points culture positive for *B. pseudomallei* was also negatively associated with the percentage of organic matter (OR=0.06; 95%CI 0.01-0.32, p=0.001), level of soil moisture (OR=0.78; 95%CI 0.66-0.91, p=0.002) and level of salinity (OR=0.07; 95%CI 0.01-0.53, p=0.01) (see Table S4 in the supplemental material).

#### Discussion

The results of our large environmental study demonstrated an association between the presence of *B. pseudomallei* and nutrient-depleted soil in rice fields in Thailand. Negative associations between the presence of *B. pseudomallei* and nutrient levels in the soil were observed for each of

the nutrients evaluated (with the exception of total nitrogen, exchangeable sodium and extractable sulphur) and for organic matter and cation exchange capacity, which represent levels of overall nutrients and total nutrient fixing capacity of soil, respectively. This is also supported by the negative association between the presence of *B. pseudomallei* and the level of salinity, which could also represent the level of soil nutrients in the environment (12). Our findings are important because nutrients in the soil are effected by agricultural practices, and crop residue burning after harvest is not uncommon in Thailand and many other tropical countries. There is strong evidence to show that burning can reduce soil nutrients by eliminating crop residues and soil organisms present on the soil surface (25). Poor agricultural practices could impact on the presence and amount of *B. pseudomallei*. This suggests that changes in agricultural practice and improvement of soil nutrient content might also be essential to reduce the distribution of *B. pseudomallei* and incidence of melioidosis.

Our study also highlights the difference between findings from experimental soil inoculated with *B. pseudomallei*, environmental studies in small areas where melioidosis is endemic, and this large environmental study. For example, soil moisture was positively associated with presence of *B. pseudomallei* in experimental soil studies (10-12), and environmental studies of small areas where melioidosis is endemic (26, 27). It has been postulated that *B. pseudomallei* can move from deeper soil layers to the surface during the rainy season and rising water table where it may then multiply (28). Our study shows that soil in Northeast Thailand (where *B. pseudomallei* is abundant in soil) is mostly sandy soil with a low level of organic matter and moisture, while soil in Central Thailand (where *B. pseudomallei* is less abundant), is mostly clay soil with high level

of organic matter and moisture. This is also supported by a recent finding of the presence of *B*. *pseudomallei* in a desert region outside the wet tropics in Northern Australia (29).

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

276

277

Organic matter in soil contains vital nutrients and influences the diversity and biological activity of soil organisms (25). The negative association between soil organic matter and the presence of B. pseudomallei is consistent with two previous environmental studies in Northern Australia (15) and Northeast Thailand (30), which showed that the level of organic carbon was negatively associated with presence of B. pseudomallei. The level of organic carbon is a measure of the carbon contained within the soil organic matter. It is possible that soils with high organic matter have high biotic stress because abundant soil microorganisms are competing for substrates, water or growth factors (31), which may inhibit the survival or growth of B. pseudomallei. This is supported by an environmental study showing that low microbial density in soil is associated with the presence of B. pseudomallei (27, 32) and that Bacillus amyloliquefaciens extracted from soil samples can inhibit the growth of B. pseudomallei (32). It is also possible that depletion of individual nutrients such as iron supports the growth of B. pseudomallei, which has a range of mechanisms to persist in low iron environments (33). An additional possibility is that environmental stress selects for persister cells of B. pseudomallei, as has been recently shown for Pseudomonas aeruginosa in nutrient-limited conditions and in biofilm (34). B. pseudomallei are taken up by amoebae, which in vitro are associated with survival in the presence of disinfecting agents and antimicrobial drugs (35, 36), and may represent an additional survival advantage for B. pseudomallei in nutrient-depleted soil.

Our findings suggest that extremely low levels of salinity (such as <0.1 dS/m) may be an indirect measure of nutrient depletion in rice fields. This is because soil salinity as estimated by measuring electrical conductivity represents soluble salts of soil nutrients, including sodium, chloride, magnesium, calcium, potassium and nitrate. Our finding is consistent with an experimental study in Northern Australia (12), which showed that *B. pseudomallei* grew well in soil with low electrical conductivity (0.1 dS/m) but could not survive in commercial soil, which has a high level of organic based compost and high electrical conductivity (0.7 dS/m). Although a recent modelling study proposed a positive association between salinity level and presence of *B. pseudomallei*, this estimation was based on soil salinity for all land (undisturbed land, agricultural land, sports fields, etc) with an electrical conductivity ranging from 0 to >20 dS/m (1). It is also possible that the effect of salinity in rice fields may be different from non-rice fields. For example, rice fields may be intentionally flooded and drained repeatedly to reduce salinity to a very low level (<2.0 dS/m) (37), and this could lead to the loss of water-soluble nutrients from the soil (38-40).

B. pseudomallei can survive well in soil under laboratory condition with pH ranging from 4 to 8 (13), and our study supports the lack of association between presence of B. pseudomallei and pH.

A limitation of our study is that soil sampling was only performed during the dry season over a period of three years. We chose to sample during the dry season to control for variation in the presence of *B. pseudomallei* and soil physicochemical properties associated with seasonal changes. Recent environmental studies showed that soil properties were not different between the dry and wet season (14), and that changes in the presence of *B. pseudomallei* in the soil with

very low salinity level (<2.0 dS/m) measured over three years were minimal (12). It is possible that the presence of *B. pseudomallei* in rice fields would have been generally higher if the study was conducted during the rainy season. Although the difference in percentage of organic matter between fields with and without a history of burning was not observed in our study, this could be because of the cross-sectional study design or other confounding factors. For example, some fields were burned more than 12 months before the study was conducted.

In summary, our large cross-sectional environmental survey has shown that the presence of the important human pathogen *B. pseudomallei* is associated with nutrient-depleted rice fields. Further investigations are required to evaluate whether changes in agricultural practices could effectively enhance soil nutrients, and whether these could reduce the distribution of *B. pseudomallei* in rice fields.

### Acknowledgements

We thank the farmers, village heads and soil sampling volunteers who participated in the study.

We thank Weerawat Wongasa, Sayan Langla, Sittikorn Rongsumlee, Taveewat

Boonyakamolset, Prapass Wannapinij, Prapaporn Srilohasin, Boonkoed Siriphong and Piyamas

Buakaew for their laboratory and administrative support. The authors declare no conflict of

**Funding information** 

interests.

The study was funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (Y1-AI-4906-09). DL is supported by an Intermediate Fellowship awarded by the Wellcome Trust (101103/Z/13/Z). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Kelei	rences
1.	Limmathurotsakul D, Golding N, Dance DAB, Messina JP, Pigott DM, Moyes CL,
	Rolim DB, Bertherat E, Day NPJ, Peacock SJ, Hay SI. 2016. Predicted global
	distribution of Burkholderia pseudomallei and burden of melioidosis. Nat Microbiol
	1:15008. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.8">http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.8</a>
2.	Wiersinga WJ, Currie BJ, Peacock SJ. 2012. Melioidosis. N Engl J Med 367:1035-
	1044. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1204699
3.	Currie BJ, Dance DA, Cheng AC. 2008. The global distribution of Burkholderia
	pseudomallei and melioidosis: an update. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 102 Suppl 1:S1-4.
	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0035-9203(08)70002-6
4.	Chierakul W, Anunnatsiri S, Short JM, Maharjan B, Mootsikapun P, Simpson AJ,
	Limmathurotsakul D, Cheng AC, Stepniewska K, Newton PN, Chaowagul W, White
	NJ, Peacock SJ, Day NP, Chetchotisakd P. 2005. Two randomized controlled trials of
	ceftazidime alone versus ceftazidime in combination with trimethoprim-
	sulfamethoxazole for the treatment of severe melioidosis. Clin Infect Dis <b>41:</b> 1105-1113.
	http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/444456
5.	Limmathurotsakul D, Wongratanacheewin S, Teerawattanasook N, Wongsuvan G,
	Chaisuksant S, Chetchotisakd P, Chaowagul W, Day NP, Peacock SJ. 2010.
	Increasing incidence of human melioidosis in Northeast Thailand. Am J Trop Med Hyg
	82:1113-1117. http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.2010.10-0038
	<ol> <li>2.</li> <li>3.</li> </ol>

369	6.	White NJ, Dance DA, Chaowagui W, Wattanagoon Y, Wuthiekanun V,
370		Pitakwatchara N. 1989. Halving of mortality of severe melioidosis by ceftazidime.
371		Lancet 2:697-701.
372	7.	Limmathurotsakul D, Kanoksil M, Wuthiekanun V, Kitphati R, deStavola B, Day
373		NP, Peacock SJ. 2013. Activities of daily living associated with acquisition of
374		melioidosis in northeast Thailand: a matched case-control study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis
375		7:e2072. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002072">http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002072</a>
376	8.	Faa AG, Holt PJ. 2002. Melioidosis in the Torres Strait islands of far North Queensland.
377		Commun Dis Intell Q Rep 26:279-283.
378	9.	Suntornsut P, Wongsuwan N, Malasit M, Kitphati R, Michie S, Peacock SJ,
379		Limmathurotsakul D. 2016. Barriers and Recommended Interventions to Prevent
380		Melioidosis in Northeast Thailand: A Focus Group Study Using the Behaviour Change
381		Wheel. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 10:e0004823.
382		http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004823
383	10.	Tong S, Yang S, Lu Z, He W. 1996. Laboratory investigation of ecological factors
384		influencing the environmental presence of Burkholderia pseudomallei. Microbiol
385		Immunol <b>40:</b> 451-453.
386	11.	Chen YS, Chen SC, Kao CM, Chen YL. 2003. Effects of soil pH, temperature and
387		water content on the growth of Burkholderia pseudomallei. Folia Microbiol (Praha)
388		<b>48:</b> 253-256.
389	12.	Kaestli M, Harrington G, Mayo M, Chatfield MD, Harrington I, Hill A,
390		Munksgaard N, Gibb K, Currie BJ. 2015. What drives the occurrence of the

391		melioidosis bacterium Burkholderia pseudomallei in domestic gardens? PLoS Negl Trop	
392		Dis 9:e0003635. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003635">http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003635</a>	
393	13.	Wang-Ngarm S, Chareonsudjai S, Chareonsudjai P. 2014. Physicochemical factors	
394		affecting the growth of Burkholderia pseudomallei in soil microcosm. Am J Trop Med	
395		Hyg <b>90:</b> 480-485. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.13-0446">http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.13-0446</a>	
396	14.	Thanapat S, Supunnipa W, Pisit C, Rasana SW, Sorujsiri C. 2013. Seasonal variation	
397		of soil environmental characteristics affect the presence of Burkholderia pseudomallei in	
398		Khon Kaen, Thailand. Afr J Microbiol Res 7:6.	
399		http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2012.2335	
400	15.	Baker AL, Ezzahir J, Gardiner C, Shipton W, Warner JM. 2015. Environmental	
401		Attributes Influencing the Distribution of Burkholderia pseudomallei in Northern	
402		Australia. PLoS One <b>10:</b> e0138953. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138953">http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138953</a>	
403	16.	Newbold T, Hudson LN, Hill SLL, Contu S, Lysenko I, Senior RA, Börger L,	
404		Bennett DJ, Choimes A, Collen B, Day J, De Palma A, Díaz S, Echeverria-Londoño	
405		S, Edgar MJ, Feldman A, Garon M, Harrison MLK, Alhusseini T, Ingram DJ,	
406		Itescu Y, Kattge J, Kemp V, Kirkpatrick L, Kleyer M, Correia DLP, Martin CD,	
407		Meiri S, Novosolov M, Pan Y, Phillips HRP, Purves DW, Robinson A, Simpson J,	
408		Tuck SL, Weiher E, White HJ, Ewers RM, Mace GM, Scharlemann JPW, Purvis A.	
409		2015. Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. Nature <b>520:</b> 6.	
410		http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature14324	
411	17.	The Bureau of registration Administration, Ministry of Interior, Thailand. 2013.	
412		Thailand Demographic, 25 February 2014 ed.	
413		http://stat.bora.dopa.go.th/stat/y_stat56.html Accessed 23 October 2015	

414	18.	Office of Agricultural Economics, Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperatives,
415		Thailand. 2013. Lands used for agriculture cencus 2013, 21 January 2015 ed, Office of
416		Agricultural Economics.
417		http://www.oae.go.th/download/use_soilNew/soiNew/landused2556.html Accessed date
418		25 November 2015
419	19.	Limmathurotsakul D, Dance DA, Wuthiekanun V, Kaestli M, Mayo M, Warner J,
420		Wagner DM, Tuanyok A, Wertheim H, Yoke Cheng T, Mukhopadhyay C,
421		Puthucheary S, Day NP, Steinmetz I, Currie BJ, Peacock SJ. 2013. Systematic review
422		and consensus guidelines for environmental sampling of Burkholderia pseudomallei.
423		PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7:e2105. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002105
424	20.	Aanensen DM, Huntley DM, Feil EJ, al-Own F, Spratt BG. 2009. EpiCollect: linking
425		smartphones to web applications for epidemiology, ecology and community data
426		collection. PLoS One <b>4:</b> e6968. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4702.1">http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.4702.1</a>
427	21.	Chantratita N, Wuthiekanun V, Boonbumrung K, Tiyawisutsri R, Vesaratchavest
428		M, Limmathurotsakul D, Chierakul W, Wongratanacheewin S, Pukritiyakamee S,
429		White NJ, Day NP, Peacock SJ. 2007. Biological relevance of colony morphology and
430		phenotypic switching by <i>Burkholderia pseudomallei</i> . J Bacteriol <b>189:</b> 807-817.
431		http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.01258-06
432	22.	Smith MD, Wuthiekanun V, Walsh AL, Pitt TL. 1993. Latex agglutination test for
433		identification of <i>Pseudomonas pseudomallei</i> . J Clin Pathol <b>46:</b> 374-375.
434	23.	Wuthiekanun V, Smith MD, Dance DA, Walsh AL, Pitt TL, White NJ. 1996.
435		Biochemical characteristics of clinical and environmental isolates of Burkholderia
436		pseudomallei. J Med Microbiol 45:408-412.

437	24.	Bursac Z, Gauss CH, Williams DK, Hosmer DW. 2008. Purposeful selection of
438		variables in logistic regression. Source Code Biol Med 3:17.
439		http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1751-0473-3-17
440	25.	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2005. The importance of
441		soil organic matter. <a href="http://www.fao.org/3/a-a0100e.pdf">http://www.fao.org/3/a-a0100e.pdf</a> Accessed date 5 November 2015
442	26.	Kaestli M, Mayo M, Harrington G, Ward L, Watt F, Hill JV, Cheng AC, Currie BJ
443		2009. Landscape changes influence the occurrence of the melioidosis bacterium
444		Burkholderia pseudomallei in soil in northern Australia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 3:e364.
445		http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000364
446	27.	Sermswan RW, Royros P, Khakhum N, Wongratanacheewin S, Tuanyok A. 2015.
447		Direct detection of Burkholderia pseudomallei and biological factors in soil. Trans R Soc
448		Trop Med Hyg <b>109:</b> 462-468. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/trv040">http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/trv040</a>
449	28.	Thomas AD, Forbes-Faulkner J, Parker M. 1979. Isolation of Pseudomonas
450		pseudomallei from clay layers at defined depths. Am J Epidemiol 110:515-521.
451	29.	Yip TW, Hewagama S, Mayo M, Price EP, Sarovich DS, Bastian I, Baird RW,
452		Spratt BG, Currie BJ. 2015. Endemic melioidosis in residents of desert region after
453		atypically intense rainfall in central Australia, 2011. Emerg Infect Dis 21:1038-1040.
454		http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2106.141908
455	30.	Ngamsang R, Potisap C, Boonmee A, Lawongsa P, Chaianunporn T,
456		Wongratanacheewin S, Rodrigues JL, Sermswan RW. 2015. The Contribution of Soil
457		Physicochemical Properties to the Presence and Genetic Diversity of Burkholderia
458		Pseudomallei. Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health 46:38-50.

459 31. Pepper IL, Gerba CP, Gentry T, Maier RM. 2009. Environmental Microbiology, Second ed. Academic Press, California. 460 32. Potisap C, Boottanun P, Boonmee A, Rodrigues HLM, Sermswan RW. 2016. 461 462 Identification of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* in soil with compounds that kill Burkholderia pseudomallei, Abstract in: Proceedings of 8th World Melioidosis Congress; 463 2016 August 8–10; Cebu, Phillippines. 464 33. Ribolzi O, Rochelle-Newall E, Dittrich S, Auda Y, Newton PN, Rattanavong S, 465 Knappik M, Soulileuth B, Sengtaheuanghoung O, Dance DA, Pierret A. 2016. Land 466 467 use and soil type determine the presence of the pathogen Burkholderia pseudomallei in tropical rivers. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11356-015-5943-z 468 34. Nguyen D, Joshi-Datar A, Lepine F, Bauerle E, Olakanmi O, Beer K, McKay G, 469 470 Siehnel R, Schafhauser J, Wang Y, Britigan BE, Singh PK. 2011. Active starvation responses mediate antibiotic tolerance in biofilms and nutrient-limited bacteria. Science 471 **334:**982-986. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1211037">http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1211037</a> 472 35. Inglis TJ, Rodrigues F, Rigby P, Norton R, Currie BJ. 2004. Comparison of the 473 susceptibilities of Burkholderia pseudomallei to meropenem and ceftazidime by 474 475 conventional and intracellular methods. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:2999-3005. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.8.2999-3005.2004 476 36. Howard K, Inglis TJ. 2005. Disinfection of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* in potable water. 477 478 Water Res **39:**1085-1092. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.12.028 Inthong S, Vityakon P, Sriboonlue V, Trelo-ges V. 2005. Characteristics of nutrient 479 37. leaching in a sandy soil of northeast Thailand. http://agris.fao.org/agris-480

search/search.do?recordID=TH2006000046. Accessed 27 August 2016.

482	38.	Stoate C, Boatman ND, Borralho RJ, Carvalho CR, de Snoo GR, Eden P. 2001.
483		Ecological impacts of arable intensification in Europe. J Environ Manage 63:337-365.
484	39.	Pathak BK, Kazama F, Toshiaki I. 2004. Monitoring of Nitrogen Leaching from a
485		Tropical Paddy in Thailand. Agricultural Engineering International: the CIGR Journal of
486		Scientific Research and Development VI.
487		http://www.cigrjournal.org/index.php/Ejounral/article/view/545/539
488	40.	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Saline soil and their
489		management. <a href="http://www.fao.org/docrep/x5871e/x5871e04.htm">http://www.fao.org/docrep/x5871e/x5871e04.htm</a> . Accessed 28 June 2016.
490	41.	Tukey JW. 1977. Exploratory Data Analysis, First ed. Pearson, Washington.
491		
492		

## Figure legends

FIG 1 Distribution of *B. pseudomallei* in Central, East and Northeast Thailand.

(a) Map of Thailand. (b) Location of the 61 rice fields evaluated. Red and white circles, culture positive and negative for *B. pseudomallei*, respectively. Province codes represent Phetchabun (C1), Phitsanulok (C2), Pathum Thani (C3), Saraburi (C4), Lopburi (C5), Nakhon Nayok (C6) and Bangkok (C7) in Central Thailand, Chachoengsao (E1), Prachinburi (E2), Sa Kaeo (E3), Chanthaburi (E4), Chonburi (E5) and Rayong (E6) in the East, and Burirum (NE1), Chaiyaphum (NE2), Khon Kaen (NE3), Udon Thani (NE4), Nong Bua Lam Phu (NE5), Loei (NE6) and Nakhon Ratchasima (NE7) in the Northeast.

FIG 2 Soil physicochemical properties associated with the presence of *B. pseudomallei* Box–whisker plots indicate median, interquartile range and distribution of the data. Dots indicate the outliers (data located outside 1.5 times of interquartile range) (41). Red and grey boxes represent rice fields culture positive (Pos) and negative (Neg) for *B. pseudomallei*, respectively. \*P≤0.05, \*\*P≤0.01, \*\*\*P≤0.001 and NS=Not Significant.

# **Tables**

# TABLE 1 Soil physicochemical properties associated with the presence of *B. pseudomallei* in a multivariable logistic regression model

Soil physicochemical characteristics	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	p value
Organic matter (%w/w)	0.06 (0.01-0.47)	0.007
Electrical conductivity (dS/m)	0.06 (0.01-0.74)	0.03
Moisture (%)	0.81 (0.66-1.00)	0.05



